



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/666,777	09/18/2003	Dietmar Schatkowski	3968.091	9437
7590 08/25/2004			EXAMINER	
Pendorf & Cutliff 5111 Memorial Highway			SHIPPEN, MICHAEL L	
Tampa, FL 33634-7356			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/666,777	SCHATKOWSKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN	1621
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rep n. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ( eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become	ly be timely filed  30) days will be considered timely.  15 from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	owance except for formal matter	s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) 8-19 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-12 and 14-19</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13</u> is/are objected to.		
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached O	office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.	
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>	ents have been received in Appl	ication No
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the p</li></ol>		ceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bur		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not rec	eived.
Attachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Theory Sum	mary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/15/04.	08) 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office	Other	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1021

Claims 1-10, 15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 4,252,986, USP 4,623,750 or SU 1,082,780. Note the third stage of Example 1 of USP 4,252,986; Example 2B of USP 4,623,750 and the example of SU 1,082,780 as described in the abstract provided. While some of the references may not recite the trans isomer being present, the isomer is inherent in the product mixture. Moreover, the process steps of the prior art anticipate the claimed process steps regardless of the manner the product is characterized in the prior art.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1032

Claims 1-12 and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 4,252,986, USP 4,623,750 and SU 1,082,780. The references are applied as above. To the extent the references do not anticipate the claims, the references differ from the claimed invention as to specific reactants and/or recited

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,777

Art Unit: 1621

reaction conditions. The claimed reactants are quite analogous to the reactants exemplified in the reference. The differences in the reactants are found only in substituents that are removed from the reaction site and do not affect the outcome of the reaction. The reactive functional groups involved are the same and undergo the same conversion. The claimed process affords the products one would expect from the teaching of the prior art. The use of a new starting material in an otherwise old process is considered obvious. It is well within the skill of the artisan to operate within the parameters suggested by the disclosure of the reference and carry out the prior art process with the expectation that one will obtain the results taught in the reference. The optimization of reaction conditions for a particular reaction system to optimize a result (such as to maximize the yield of a desired product) is well within the skill of the artisan through routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 105 USPQ 233.

Page 3

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 1123

Claims 11, 12, and 14 are rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as failing to particularly point out the claimed invention. The expressions "said Raney

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 112 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,777

Art Unit: 1621

nickel" or "said base" do not have antecedent basis in parent claim 8 rendering the

claims indefinite as to what is actually being referred to.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The remaining references are cited as of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Michael L. Shippen** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-0647**. The Examiner's normal tour of duty is 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is **(571) 272-1600**. The official group FAX

machine number is 703-872-9306.

MShippen August 20, 2004

> MICHAEL L. SHIPPEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1621

Page 4